## 2017 INSPIRE GRADUATE SURVEY AGGREGATE RESULTS Preparation Program Quality

During late Spring, Summer, and early Fall of 2017, the INSPIRE-G survey for school-level leadership preparation was administered to a national sample of educational leadership program graduates. The overwhelming majority of respondents had completed a UCEA member institutions' leadership preparation program within the prior academic term or year. Specifically, 1869 graduates were surveyed from 23 preparation programs; 834 responded to the INSPIRE graduate survey, representing a 45% response rate. This response rate demonstrates one of the challenges of contacting and collecting follow-up data from graduates after they have completed their program of study. Below are descriptive statistics for program quality evaluations. Participating programs are encouraged to use the aggregate data findings below as comparison indices to data from their individual program reports.

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Program Rigor & Relevance					
To what extent do you agree or					
disagree with the following					
statements					
-The program content	757	1	5	4.54	.760
emphasized instructional					
leadership.					
-The program content					
emphasized leadership for	755	4	5	4.57	.692
school and organizational	755	1	5	4.57	.692
improvement.					
-The program provided a	756	4	5	4.38	.805
coherent learning experience.	730	ı	5	4.36	.606.
-The program was challenging	755		5	4 4 4	700
and intellectually stimulating.	755	1	5	4.44	.793
-I was asked to reflect on					
practice and analyze how to	756	1	5	4.56	.719
improve it.					
-The program integrated theory	755	4	5	4.46	.744
and practice.	755	ı	5	4.40	.744
-The program gave me a strong					
orientation to educational	755	1	5	4.44	.814
leadership as a career.					

PROGRAM RIGOR &					
RELEVANCE (Mean RR1-7;	757	1.00	5.00	4.4830	.64018
Cronbach's alpha=.931)					
Faculty Quality					
To what extent do you agree or					
disagree with the following	753	1	5	4.56	.621
statements	755	ı	5	4.50	.021
-The faculty/instructors were					
knowledgeable.					
-The faculty/instructors were	752	1	5	4.51	.659
competent.	132	'	3	4.51	.039
-The faculty/instructors were	752	1	5	4.46	.725
responsive to students.	132	'	3	4.40	.725
-The faculty/instructors were	752	1	5	4.57	.665
respectful of diversity.	702	,	O .	4.07	.000
FACULTY QUALITY (Mean					
FQ1-4; Cronbach's	753	1.00	5.00	4.5272	.57925
alpha=.889)					
Peer Relationships					
To what extent do you agree or					
disagree with the following					
statements	750	1	5	4.37	.830
-My interactions with fellow	7.00		o e		.000
students have had a positive					
influence on my personal					
growth.					
-My interactions with fellow					
students have had a positive	752	1	5	4.39	.818
influence on my professional					
growth.					
-I developed close relationships					
with other leaderships students	752	1	5	4.07	1.095
in the program.					
PEER RELATIONSHIPS					
(Mean PR1-3; Cronbach's	753	1.00	5.00	4.2789	.82968
alpha=.881 BUT .914 if PR3					
omitted)					l <b>l</b>

Program Accessibility					
To what extent do you agree or					
disagree with the following					
statements about	751	1	5	4.31	.829
-Program classes and activities					
were offered at convenient times					
and days.					
-The program locations were	751	4	5	4.00	020
convenient.	751	1	5	4.32	.836
-Online courses and activities					
enhanced the program	745	1	5	3.87	1.078
convenience.					
-Costs incurred by me were					
affordable (e.g., tuition, fees,	748	1	5	3.74	1.124
books, or other).					
-Admission requirements were	750	1	5	4.36	.693
reasonable.	730	'	0	4.50	.033
PROGRAM ACCESSIBILITY					
(Mean PAcc1-5; Cronbach's	752	1.00	5.00	4.1195	.62930
alpha=.713)					
Did you participate in the					
leadership program as part of a	752	0	1	.71	.453
cohort? 1=yes, 0=no					
Internship/Residency					
<b>Design</b> Did you have a	750	0	1	.81	.393
program-sponsored internship					
or residency? 1=yes, 0=no					
Internship/Residency Quality					
To what extent do you agree or					
disagree with the following					
statements					
-My internship experience was	696	1	5	4.19	.931
an excellent learning experience					
for becoming an educational					
leader.					
-I was able to develop an			_		
educational leader's perspective	697	1	5	4.31	.782
on school improvement.					l

•	i i		I	Ī	
-My internship enabled me to					
develop the practice of engaging					
peers and colleagues in shared	694	1	5	4.18	.890
problem solving and					
collaboration.					
-I had responsibilities for					
leading, facilitating, and making	696	1	5	4.14	.961
decisions typical of an	090	'	3	4.14	.901
educational leader.					
-I was supervised by	695	1	5	4.38	.847
knowledgeable school leaders.	093	ļ	5	4.30	.047
-My internship achievements					
were regularly evaluated by	693	1	5	4.14	.954
program faculty or staff.					
-I worked in one or more					
schools that serve students with	000	4	_	4.04	4 005
a variety of socio-economic	693	1	5	4.24	1.005
backgrounds.					
INTERNSHIP QUALITY (Mean					
Intern 3.1-3.7; Cronbach's	607	4 74	F 00	4.2244	cooco
alpha=.875 BUT .897 if Intern	697	1.71	5.00	4.2244	.69062
3_7 omitted)					
Overall Quality of Preparation					
for School Leadership To what					
extent do you agree or disagree					
with					
-My leadership preparation					
program prepared me well for	743	1	5	4.25	.821
the duties and responsibilities of					
a school leader/principal.					
-My preparation program has a					
good reputation among most	743	1	5	4.43	.776
educators in my state or region.					
OVERALL PROGRAM					
QUALITY (Mean OPQ1-2;	745	1.00	5.00	4.3430	.72180
Cronbach's alpha=.777)					